## DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

MAY. 1 2 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of

Implementation of Sections 11 and 13 of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992

MM Docket No. 92-264

Horizontal and Vertical Ownership Limits, Cross-Ownership Limitations and Anti-trafficking Provisions

# REPLY COMMENTS OF THE NATIONAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION

The National Telephone Cooperative Association ("NTCA") submits these Reply Comments in response to comments filed in this docket on February 9, 1993. The comments were filed in response to a Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Notice of Inquiry, ("NPRM/NOI"), FCC 92-542, released by the Commission on December 28, 1992.

The Commission is seeking comments on rules to implement
Sections 11 and 13 of the Cable Television Consumer Protection
and Competition Act of 1992 (the "Act"). Section 13 contains the
cable anti-trafficking rule which, with certain exceptions,
prohibits the sale or transfer of ownership in a cable system
within three years following the acquisition or initial
construction of the system. Section 11 establishes restrictions
on cross-ownership and requires the Commission to conduct a
proceeding prescribing subscriber limits and channel occupancy
limits. It also requires the Commission to consider whether

No. of Copies rec't

additional restrictions are required to limit the ability of multichannel distributors to engage in the creation or production of video programming. NTCA is submitting limited replies to comments on proposed regulations implementing both of these provisions.

NTCA is a national association of approximately 500 small and rural local exchange carriers ("LECs") providing telecommunications services to interexchange carriers ("IXCs") and subscribers across rural America. Approximately 150 of NTCA's members operate small cable television systems in their telephone service areas. Most of these members provide service under the rural exemption to the telephone/cable cross-ownership rule in 47 C.F.R. § 63.58. Some NTCA members with CATV systems also provide Multichannel Muultipoint Distribution Service ("MMDS"); others provide MMDS but not CATV.

### DISCUSSION

I. THE COMMISSION SHOULD LET STAND THE CURRENT MMDS CROSS-OWNERSHIP RULES.

The Commission stated in the NPRM that recently adopted MMDS cross-ownership rules "are consistent with and effectively implement the cross-ownership prohibitions of the 1992 Cable Act." These rules, 47 C.F.R. § 21.912, prohibit an MMDS company from owning, leasing, or controlling a CATV system in a geographic area which overlaps the MMDS protected service area.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  NPRM at 26.

The rules apply to cable franchise areas lacking two or more competing cable television companies. They contain an exception for rural areas similar to the 2,500 cable/telco cross-ownership exception.<sup>2</sup> The exception permits CATV operators in rural areas to use MMDS to provide service to parts of their cable franchise area where economies of scale and technological difficulties make CATV service uneconomic or infeasible.

In earlier comments, NTCA along with several other of the commenting parties supported the Commission's conclusion that this exception is in the public interest. For example, the National Cable Television Association Inc. ("NCTA") states that "it is critical that the Commission retain its existing exceptions for rural areas and local programming and its public interest waiver standard." Three Rural Telephone/Cable Companies also support the exemption and believe "(t)he current.

								,		
	Companies	also	support	the	exemption	and	believe	"(t)he	_current	
										_
_	<del>_</del>			<u> </u>	•		<u>-</u>	-		
	<u> </u>	_								
1										
ı										
										=
										_

Warner Entertainment Company, L.P. ("Time Warner") urge the Commission to retain the rural exception to foster the provision of cable service to rural areas.

NTCA also agrees with commenters making the point that Congress intended an even narrower cross-ownership restriction than that provided for in 47 C.F.R. § 21.912.6 These parties point out that Section 11(a)(2) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 533(a)(2) permits the grant of a license to a cable operator in its franchise area, as long as the MMDS or satellite master antenna television service ("SMATV") service area does not overlap the area "served" by the cable system. This narrower cross-ownership restriction reflects the Congressional intent to promote diversity in media ownership while balancing genuine and significant efficiencies. A narrow restriction allowing CATV systems to provide MMDS in unserved areas of their franchise will fulfill the Congressional intent and allow NTCA members operating

MMDS in those sparsely populated and difficult to wire areas where MMDS is more feasible and economical. 7

Cablevision of Texas III, L.P. (Cablevision) states that it "is currently competing directly with wireless cable operators." Cablevision disagrees with the Commission's belief that existing MMDS cross-ownership rules are consistent with the intent of the Act. Cablevision believes the existing rules are too liberal and should be modified. GTE Service Corporation

	too liberal	and should	be modified.9	GTE Servic	e Corporati	ion
	(Manually 2		_ 44	L the demnis	m-+1	<b>h</b> .
<del>5, :</del>	· == -					
<u>~</u>						
141						
<u>L                                     </u>						
<u>.</u>						
3 -						
• .					·	
•						
÷						
	-					
	<del>-</del>	•				
,	<del>-</del>			-		
J. 1- 1						
<del>*************************************</del>						
<del></del>						
<u>,                                     </u>						

The Commission established the rural exemption in <u>Second</u>

Report and Order, in General Docket Nos. 90-54 and 80-113,
6 FCC Rcd 6792 (1992), on the basis of a record demonstrating
that the exemption was needed to speed the introduction of
multichannel service in sparsely populated areas. 11 The
exception is consistent with the policy expressed above and
nothing has changed since the exception was created. Now, as
then, small CATV systems in these sparsely populated areas can
best provide multichannel services to entire communities if they
have the ability to complement CATV service with MMDS service.
The exception will give operators the option to use MMDS in
sparsely populated areas where terrain and other factors make
MMDS the more feasible alternative for reaching subscribers.

In view of the clarity of Section 11(a)(2) of the Act,
47 U.S.C. § 533(a)(2), the policy expressed in 47 U.S.C. § 521,
and the public interest, NTCA urges the Commission to (1) retain
the rules in 47 C.F.R. § 21.912 and (2) clearly state that CATV
operators do not need waivers or exemptions to provide MMDS in

prohibiting "profiteering" and the obvious public interest in narrowly applying restrictions on alienability. Specifically, NTCA agrees that the three-year holding period should not apply retroactively. Time Warner correctly comments that the Commission should grandfather systems acquired or constructed prior to effectiveness of the Act to prevent retroactive interference with vested contractual rights. NTCA agrees. The legislative history evinces no clear intent to apply the holding period retroactively and the Commission should not interpret the statute to permit retroactivity.

The Commission requests comment on what date should be used to determine the initial holding period and on how it should determine the date of acquisition. NTCA agrees with TCI, and others commenting that the holding period should be measured by reference to objectively identifiable dates. 14 Parties should not have to engage in guessing games to determine whether proposed transactions will evoke the anti-trafficking provisions. Uncertainty in the rules will promote waste by encouraging unneeded disputes and litigation, both of which most often work to disadvantage small companies and favor large entities that have the "deep pockets" required to engage in lengthy disputes and litigation.

Comments of Tele-Communications, Inc. ("TCI") at 43 and Time Warner at 4.

Time Warner at 7; NCTA at 54; and Liberty Media Corporation at 42.

TCI at 49 and Time Warner at 10.

NTCA also concurs with the Commission's proposal to grant conditional waivers prior to the franchise authority's grant of approval in cases where, under 47 U.S.C. § 537(d), the franchise agreement requires approval of the franchise authority to any transfer or assignment. Conditional waivers will benefit the public by speeding the approval process. In requiring that franchise authorities act on approval requests within 120 days, Congress expressed its intent that the approval processes should not be protracted. NTCA believes that this intent will be fostered by conditional waivers. In addition, NTCA agrees with parties that urge the Commission to establish specific standards and definitive boundaries for the commencement of the 120-day period provided for under 47 U.S.C. § 537(e). 15 These boundaries should also apply in cases involving Commission waivers under 47 U.S.C. § 537(d). Definitive boundaries and standards will promote uniformity and enforcement of the Act and prevent the incurrence of unnecessary litigation costs and other expenses associated with uncertain deadlines and vague quidelines.

See, NCTA at 51 and Time Warner at 44.

#### CONCLUSION

For the above stated reasons, NTCA urges the Commission to adopt rules consistent with these comments.

Respectfully submitted,

NATIONAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION

By: Sand Casson By O David Cosson

(202) 298-2326

By: L. Marie Guillory
(202) 298-2359

Its Attorneys

2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

May 12, 1993

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Rita H. Bolden, certify that a copy of the foregoing Reply Comments in MM Docket No. 92-264 of the National Telephone Cooperative Association was served on this 12th day of May 1993, by first-class, U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to the following persons on the attached list:

Rita W. Bolden

William J. Catto, Esq. Haag & Deutschman, P.A. 452 Pleasant Grove Road Inverness, FL 34452

William J. Catto, Esq.
Larry M. Haag, Esq.
Richard Wm. Wesch, Esq.
Office of the County Attorney
Citrus County
107 N. Park Avenue, Suite 8
Inverness, FL 34450

Judith A. McHale, Esq.
Barbara S. Wellbery, Esq.
Discovery Communications, Inc.
7700 Wisconsin Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20814-3522

David L. Donovan, Esq.
Association of Independent
Television Stations, Inc.
1200 18th Street, N.W.
Suite 502
Washington, D.C. 20036

Henry M. Rivera, Esq.
Ann Bavender, Esq.
Ginsburg, Feldman and Bress,
Chartered
1250 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20036

Louis A. Isakoff, Esq.
International Family Entertainment
Inc.
1000 Centerville Turnpike
Virginia Beach, VA 23463

David A. Irwin, Esq.
Michael G. Jones, Esq.
Irwin Campbell & Crowe
1320 18th Street, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036

Ms. Celeste M. Fasone, Director State of New Jersey Board of Regulatory Commissioners Two Gateway Center Newark, NJ 07102

Garret G. Rasmussen, Esq. Patton, Boggs & Blow 2550 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Mr. David Waterman
The Annenberg School For
Communication
University of Southern California
3502 South Hoover Street
Los Angeles, California 90089-0281

David B. Gluck, Esq.
Mark R. Boyes, Esq.
Affiliated Regional Communications,
Ltd.
600 Las Colinas Boulevard
Suite 2200
Irving, Texas 75039

W. James MacNaughton, Esq. Liberty Cable Company, Inc. Woodbridge Center Drive Suite 610 Woodbridge, NJ 07095

Edward W. Hummers, Jr., Esq. Paul J. Feldman, Esq. Fletcher, Heald, & Hildreth 1300 North 17th Street 11th Floor Rosslyn, VA 22209

Christopher B. Fager, Esq. E! Entertainment Television, Inc. 5670 Wilshire Blvd. Los Angles, CA 90036 Stephen S. Madsen, Esq. Cravath, Swaine & Moore Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019

International Transcription Service 2100 M Street, N.W. Suite 140 Washington, D.C. 20037

Donna Coleman Gregg, Esq. Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Bruce D. Sokler, Esq.
Lisa W. Schoenthaler, Esq.
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris,
Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20004

Michael H. Hammer, Esq.
Philip L. Verveer, Esq.
Theodore C. Whitehouse, Esq.
Daniel R. Hunter, Esq.
Willkie Farr & Gallagher
1155 21st St, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036-3384

Ms. Kathleen B. Levitz Acting Chief Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 500-1600 Washington, D.C. 20554

Aaron I. Fleischman, Esq.
Arthur H. Harding, Esq.
Stephen A. Bouchard, Esq.
Howard S. Shapiro, Esq.
Fleischman and Walsh, P.C.
1400 16th St., N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036

William J. Andrle, Jr., Esq. James E. Cushing, Esq. Tribune Regional Programming, Inc. 435 N. Michigan Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60611

John L. Grow, Esq.
New York State Commission on
Cable Television
Corning Tower Bldg., Empire State
Plaza
Albany, NY 12223
list127 list136

Mark J. Palchick, Esq.
Baraff, Koerner, Olender
& Hochberg, P.C.
5335 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20015

Jud Colley, Esq. Community Broadcasters Association P.O. Box 26736 Milwaukee, WI 53226-0736

William B. Barfield, Esq. Thompson T. Rawls, II, Esq. BellSouth Telephone Companies 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Suite 1800 Atlanta, Georgia 30367-6000

Seth A. Davidson, Esq. Fleischman and Walsh, P.C. 1400 16th St., N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036

R. Clark Wadlow, Esq. Sidley & Austin 1722 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

James R. Hobson, Esq.
Jeffrey O. Moreno, Esq.
Donelan, Cleary, Wood & Maser, PC
1275 K Street, N.W., Suite 850
Washington, D.C. 20005

Downtown Copy Center 1114 21st Street, N.W. Suite 140 Washington, D.C. 20036

Frank W. Lloyd, Esq.
Keith A. Barritt, Esq.
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris,
Glovsky & Popeo, P.C.
701 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20004-2608

Norman M. Sinel, Esq.
Patrick J. Grant, Esq.
Stephanie M. Phillipps, Esq.
Bruce A. Henoch, Esq.
Arnold & Porter
1200 New Hampshire Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Richard E. Wiley, Esq.
Lawrence W. Secrest, III, Esq.
Philip V. Permut, Esq.
Wayne D. Johnsen, Esq.
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Bertram W. Carp, Esq. Turner Broadcasting System, Inc. 820 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20004

Mr. Robert J. Sachs
Senior Vice President for
Corporate and Legal Affairs
Howard B. Homonoff, Esq.
Continental Cablevision, Inc.
Pilot House, Lewis Wharf
Boston, MA 02110

Robert L. Hoegle, Esq. Timothy J. Fitzgibbon, Esq. Carter, Ledyard & Milburn 1350 I Street, N.W. Suite 870 Washington, D.C. 20006

Robert S. Lemle, Esq.
Senior Vice President and
General Counsel
Cablevision Systems Corp.
One Media Crossways
Woodbury, NY 11797

Gardner F. Gillespie, Esq. Jacqueline P. Cleary, Esq. Hogan & Hartson 555 13th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Dr. Mark Cooper, Research
Director
Gene Kimmelman, Esq.
Bradley Stillman, Esq.
CFA
1424 16th Street, N.W., Suite 604
Washington, D.C. 20036

Ward W. Wueste, Jr., Esq. Marceil F. Morrell, Esq. GTE Service Corp. P.O. Box 152092 Irving, Texas 75015-2092

Paul Glist, Esq.
James F. Ireland III, Esq.
Robert G. Scott, Jr., Esq.
Cole, Raywid & Braverman
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20006

Howard J. Symons, Esq.
Gregory A. Lewis, Esq.
Mintz. Levin, Cohn, Ferris
Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.
701 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20004

Fritz E. Attaway, Esq.
Motion Picture Association
of America, Inc.
1600 Eye St., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Deborah C. Costlow, Esq. Thomas C. Power, Esq. Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20005

Stephen R. Ross, Esq. Kathryn A. Hutton, Esq. Ross & Hardies 888 16th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Chairman James H. Quello Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 802-0106 Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Andrew C. Barrett Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844-0103 Washington, D.C. 20554

Mr. Kent Nilsson Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 544-1600G Washington, D.C. 20554 Daniel L. Brenner, Esq. Loretta P. Polk, Esq. NCTA, Inc. 1724 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

David J. Kaufman, Esq.
Rhonda L. Neil, Esq.
Brown Nietert & Kaufman, Chtd.
1920 N Street, N.W., Suite 660
Washington, D.C. 20036

Brenda Fox, Esq.
Peter H. Feinberg, Esq.
Michael Pierce, Esq.
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson
1255 23rd Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20037

Commissioner Sherrie P. Marshall Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 826-0105 Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Ervin S. Duggan Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 832-0104 Washington, D.C. 20554